HERTFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

# ADULT CARE & HEALTH CABINET PANEL

TUESDAY 14 NOVEMBER 2017 AT 10:00AM

## ADULT SOCIAL CARE PERFORMANCE MONITOR – QUARTER 2 - 2017/18

Report of the Director of Adult Care Services

Author: Matt Chatfield – Adult Social Care Performance Manager (Tel: 01438 845387)

Executive Member: Colette Wyatt-Lowe – Adult Care and Health

## 1. Purpose of the report

1.1. To enable the Panel to review the performance of Adult Social Care for the second quarter of the 2017/18 financial year (July 2017 – September 2017).

### 2. Background

- 2.1 Each year the Council are required to submit data on adult social care activity to NHS Digital. This data is used to calculate a number of Adult Social Care Outcome Framework indicators which allows the benchmarking of local authorities' performance. This report provides the latest performance on a selection of these key indicators.
- 2.2 In the report, 2015/16 benchmarking information is used because at the time of writing 2016/17 information has not yet been published. However, when these are published consideration will be given as to whether the indicators presented in this report best present the priorities for Adult Social Care and whether the targets that have been set are appropriate.
- 2.3 At a recent Adult Care and Health Panel meeting, members asked for a further breakdown of delayed transfers of care. Appendix 1 provides this breakdown.
- 2.4 In addition to the above, an overview of the Hertfordshire Care Standard is also included in Appendix Two. The Hertfordshire Care Standard is a performance summary of commissioned provision across day services, residential care and homecare providers. The Hertfordshire Care Standard is also used to monitor performance against a set of regional standards, such as leadership, involvement of service users, level of complaints and safeguarding activity. Targets are set to include, as a minimum, one monitoring visit per provider per year. Visits are prioritised according to a risk rating that takes in to account outcomes of Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspections, financial monitoring and feedback gained from operational teams, the Clinical Commissioning Groups and service users and their families.



Agenda Item No.

#### 3. Equalities Impact Assessment

- 3.1 When considering proposals placed before Members it is important that they are fully aware of, and have themselves rigorously considered the equalities implications of the decision that they are taking.
- 3.2 Rigorous consideration will ensure that proper appreciation of any potential impact of that decision on the County Council's statutory obligations under the Public Sector Equality Duty. As a minimum this requires decision makers to read and carefully consider the content of any Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) produced by officers.
- 3.3 The Equality Act 2010 requires the Council when exercising its functions to have due regard to the need to (a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act; (b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it and (c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it and (c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it and (c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 are age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion and belief, sex and sexual orientation.
- 3.4 No Equalities Impact Assessment was undertaken in relation to this matter. This report is for noting and commenting purposes only and does not require a decision which would have any equality implications.

#### 4. Financial Implications

4.1 This report is for noting and commenting purposes only and does not require a decision which would have any financial implications

#### 5. Recommendations

5.1 Panel is invited to note the report and comment on the performance of the Adult Care Services Directorate for Quarter 2 of the 2017/18 financial year.

Indicator		2016/17 End of year performance	2017/18 Target	Quarter 1 Performance	Quarter 2 Performance	Quarter 3 Performance	Quarter 4 Performance	2015/16 National average
Percentage receiving support	of people self-directed	95.4%	97.0%	95.9%	96.0%			86.9%
As dir	at the 30 Septemb ected support. Tear pport in order for the	ms continue to b	pe provideo	d with a list of cl				•
Percentage receiving se support		97.6%	99.0%	97.1%	97.2%			77.7%
Du	intering the year there h pring the year there h pring the year there h press. Teams received stained and improve of people	ve regular inform es.	ation on ca	arers not receivir	ng self-directed s		•	mance is
receiving di	rect payments	28.4%	30.0%	27.5%	27.3%			28.1%
Of sp	the 8,108 clients re- ecific initiative to rev pport.	• •			•	-	•	•

Indicator	2016/17 End of year performance	2017/18 Target	Quarter 1 Performance	Quarter 2 Performance	Quarter 3 Performance	Quarter 4 Performance	2015/16 National average
Percentage of carers receiving direct payments	78.2%	85.0%	70.5%	70.9%			67.4%
Commentary Of the 1,761 carers re reviewed to ensure th	•	•		•	•	ontinue to be as	sessed and
Permanent Admissions to Care Homes (18-64) (rate per 100,000 population)	13	15	2.96	Quarter in Arrears			13.3
Performance is report quarter 1 giving the ra target.	•			•			
Permanent Admissions to Care Homes (65+) (rate per 100,000 population)	543	575	138	Quarter in Arrears			628.2
<u>Commentary</u> Performance is report quarter one giving a ra is to reduce the numb independence. Contin have resulted in the le	ate of 138 admis er of people requ nued managemer	sions per 1 uiring resident oversight	00,000. This ind ential care and p t of all residentia	licator is predicted promote the use Il placements an	ed to meet end o of alternative se d the promotion	of year target. Al	CS strategy o promote

Indicator	2016/17 End of year performance	2017/18 Target	Quarter 1 Performance	Quarter 2 Performance	Quarter 3 Performance	Quarter 4 Performance	2015/16 National average
Older people at home 91 days after leaving hospital into reablement	86.0%	85.0%	90.0%	87.0%			82.7%
<u>Commentary</u> Performance has sligh clients entering reable form of support. Howe increase in the likeline The service continues development of discha recruitment of addition	ment services co ver, one of the re ss that they will to be improved l arge to assess m	ontinues to esults of of not be at he by a numbe odels in or	increase as clie fering reablemen ome after 91 day er of initiatives ir	nts with more di nt services to pe ys from discharg ncluding working	verse and sever cople with more ge. with providers f	e needs are offe significant need to increase capa	ered this is an city,
Delayed transfers of care attributable to social services (rate per 100,000 pop)	5.6	5.0	7.8	6.6			4.7

Indicator	2016/17 End of year performance	2017/18 Target	Quarter 1 Performance	Quarter 2 Performance	Quarter 3 Performance	Quarter 4 Performance	2015/16 National average
- additional recru	to date, the grea s Hospital Trust re Partnership F on recorded for d then residentia formance includ	etest percer with 44% of oundation social care al care (17% e ensuring reduction of pacity by u	ntage of Hertford of all delays occu Trust (HPFT) (10 delays is patien %). working closely of delays reporte sing Improved B rs to help identif	dshire's delays a urring at their ho 0.3%) report the ts waiting for ho with each indivi ed by HPFT) and setter Care Fund y suitable care	Ittributable to so spital. Hertfords second and thin me care (51%), dual trust to ens continuing to w (IBCF) funding packages for cli	cial care have be hire Community rd highest contril followed by wait sure delays are r vork on a numbe	een Trust oution to ting for ecorded
Number of DOLS applications received	4,493	5,430	933	1,355			N/A
Commentary Information included for	pr monitoring pur	poses only	/ – no target set	and no compara	ative benchmark	ing available	
Number of Safeguarding concerns raised	5,627	N/A	2130	2042			N/A
Commentary Information included for	or monitoring pu	poses only	/ – no target set.	Comparative i	nformation will I	be available for t	he Quarter

Ind	dicator	2016/17 End of year performance	2017/18 Target	Quarter 1 Performance	Quarter 2 Performance	Quarter 3 Performance	Quarter 4 Performance	2015/16 National average
3 re	eport.							

## Appendix 1

The table below demonstrates the percentage of delayed transfers of care reported year to date, broken down by each reporting NHS Trust.

